Modeling and Control of a Mechatronics System Served by a Mobile Platform Equipped with Manipulator

FILIPESCU Adriana¹, PETREA George¹, FILIPESCU Adrian¹, FILIPESCU Silviu¹

1. Department of Automation and Electrical Engineering, “Dunarea de Jos” University of Galati, 800008, Galati, Romania
E-mail: Adriana.Filipescu@ugal.ro, George_Petrea@hotmail.com, Adrian.Filipescu@ugal.ro, Silviu.Filipescu@ugal.ro

Abstract: New idea of this paper is to make a processing line capable of reprocessing pieces that have not passed the quality test at the end of the line. The focus is to provide a model of the processing system and to introduce an autonomous robotic system (ARS) type a wheeled mobile robot (WMR) equipped with a robotic manipulator (RM) in order to transport pieces, for reprocessing. For this purpose, an processing/reprocessing mechatronics system (P/RMS) and a timed hybrid Petri nets (THPN) model will be used in modeling and control of the mechatronics system, with a fixed number of workstations, served by a WMR equipped with (RM). The THPN model is a hybrid type, where P/RML is the discrete part and WMR with RM is the continuous part. The reprocessing starts after the piece fails the quality test. The WMR with RM is used only at the start of reprocessing, in order to transport the pieces from the warehouse to the beginning of the processing system.
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1 Introduction

In the last decade the industry is put in front of a new global evolution, controlled by the technological progress. This improvement is extended in all industrial domains and triggers the evolution of new generations of advanced flexible production systems and new methods of centralized management distributed or supervised. Also this involves the evolution of new types of robots and processing machine tools and the need of efficient transport and manipulation systems [1, 2]. Flexibility and process optimization have drawn the attention of the researches in this field. Most of the studies are based on the increase of the number of manufacturing operations with the same equipment and productivity growth, both having an impact on the quality of the final product [3, 4]. It is well known that the quality of the product and the manufacturing process are tightly bounded. In [4] flexibility characteristic and its impact on performance growth of the flexible manufacturing systems are analyzed. In manufacturing systems appear parallel asynchronous events (time parallelism), also known as concurrent asynchronous events. Since these asynchronous events tend to achieve a common goal, that of the whole aggregate operation, these events coincide in time intervals that occur [7, 8]. Such asynchronous parallel events in flexible manufacturing systems can be simultaneous processing of parts on various stations (machine tools), made simultaneously with transport and/or handling of parts to (or from) other stations with the execution of different operations (processing, assembly, disassembly). These events are asynchronous because a synchronization system of this large number of events does not exist. Such a system could not be achieved, taking into account the complexity of the flexible manufacturing system and the fact that events do not unfold exactly according to predetermined sequences, but as a result of successive conditionings, that do not have invariableness character and the relative velocities of events developing could not be known beforehand. The existence of parallel asynchronous events require complex modeling techniques adopted for driving a flexible manufacturing system and the relatively small number of techniques used to date has been determined by this inconvenience, and the requirements imposed to the model: generality of application, ease of use and representation fidelity. Such a system could not be achieved, taking into account the complexity of the flexible manufacturing system and the fact that events do not unfold exactly according to predetermined sequences, but as a result of successive conditionings, that do not have invariableness character and the relative velocities of events developing could not be known beforehand. The existence of parallel asynchronous events require complex modeling techniques adopted for control a flexible manufacturing system and the relatively small number of techniques used to date has been determined by this inconvenience, and the requirements imposed to the model: generality, ease of use and representation fidelity.

In this paper, the main objective is the modelling and simulation of a four workstations FESTO MPS-200 P/RMS dynamics at the occurrence of events by using a THPN model. The THPN model includes the WMR equipped with RM, serving P/RMS.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows: the description of the P/RMS served by WMR with RM is shown in Section 2; a THPN model, verified via simulation, is elaborated in Section 3; in Section 4, real-time control of WMR with RM serving P/RMS is presented; some final remarks can be found in Section 5.
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2 Description of P/RMS Served by WMR Equipped with RM

The mechatronics system FESTO MPS-200 is a flexible teaching line for processing, sorting and storage. It is composed of 4 stations (cells), each performing different operations. When asynchronous events appear, the Pioneer P3-DX mobile robot equipped with a robotic arm intervenes in the process and transports the parts from the storage station. In the analysis and modeling of the flexible processing line FESTO MPS-200 (fig. 1) are introduced initial operating assumptions regarding the process. Pieces stored on the upper level of the storage are considered scrap pieces and will be put back on line for a new flexible machining operation. Bringing of the declared scrap parts to the processing line again is done using the mobile robot equipped with a manipulator. In fig.1 are presented the sections and trajectories which the mobile platform equipped with manipulator makes in the process of servicing the flexible manufacturing line FESTO MPS-200, where: $R^1_L$ represents the number and position of the location where the mobile robot equipped with manipulator is set in the process of taking the piece; $R^2_L$: represents the number and position of the location where the mobile robot equipped with manipulator is set in the process of depositing the piece; $T^L_d$ is the number of the location to store the piece so that it could be taken by the mobile robot equipped with a manipulator; $T^L_d$ is the number of the location into which the piece is deposited by the WMR equipped with RM for a new processing operation.

Considering the analysis, described in the previous section, in fig. 3, is proposed the planning of tasks related to flexible line FESTO MPS-200. Strategies for sorting, processing and storage are based on a graph representation of the processed product, where relations between the stations are expressed by arrows. Using this graph is developed a planning of the tasks which will determine the sequence in which the components are processed. If a component is not validated at the color test or has been validated tasks planning provides the best sequence to perform its processing and storage in the warehouse.

3 THPN Model of the P/RMS Served by WMR Equipped with RM

Using Petri Nets in modeling the P/RMS FESTO MPS-200, will allow that the obtained models have to be correlated with the real time evolution of the process.

There are some notations to be made:

$$P_d = \{P_{d_1}, P_{d_2}, \ldots, P_{d_n}\}; \quad (1)$$

$$P_d = \{P_{d_1} \}_{i=1,13}; \quad (2)$$

where: $\{P_{d_1} \}_{i=1,13}$ is the set of locations for processing operation;

$$T_d = \{T_{d_1}, T_{d_2}, \ldots, T_{d_n}\}; \quad (3)$$

$$T_d = \{T_{d_1} \}_{i=1,12}; \quad (4)$$

where: $\{T_{d_1} \}_{i=1,12}$ is the set of transitions for processing operation.

Considering the task planning in fig. 2 and the process description, the timed Petri Net represents the model of the P/RMS, in discrete event system approach. During processing, the timed transitions are: $T_{dp2} = 0$, $T_{dp3} = 0$, $T_{dp9} = 0$ and $T_{dp10} = 0$ are assigned a value of zero, since each transition corresponds to a state of process that happens instantly; $T_{dp1} = 3.5s$ ; $T_{dp4} = 6.9s$ ; $T_{dp5} = 4.8s$ ; $T_{dp6} = 3.9s$ ; $T_{dp7} = 3.4s$ ; $T_{dp8} = 19.9s$ ; $T_{dp11} = 11.8s$ ; $T_{dp12} = 13.3s$ .

To develop a global model of processing and reprocessing will consider hybrid aspect of the process served by platform. In modeling will be used Timed Hybrid Petri Nets (THPN) which integrates the discrete appearance of the process together with the continuous appearance of the moving of WMR as in [5, 6, 7, 8]. The THPN model describes the following automatic operations:

- Processing/reprocessing pieces (TPN typology);
- Transporting defective pieces for reprocessing (THPN typology).

The continuous places of the model represent the three distances in which the path from the warehouse to the beginning point of the processing line is divided. Because it is not a linear trajectory, the robot has to make two 90° turns, which are modeled as discrete actions. The speed of the robot and the distances imposed the parameters of the hybrid model, and the delays for the discrete states are the ones measured in real time.

In the case of Hybrid Petri Nets approach, THPN is a septuplet:

$$THPN = \{P, T, Pre, Post, m_0, h, tempo\} \quad (5)$$

$$P = P_d \cup P_c \quad (6)$$

is a finite, not empty, set of places where $P_d$ is the set of discrete places

$$P_d = \{P_{d_1} \}_{i=1,13} \cup \{P_{d_j} \}_{j=1,18} \quad (7)$$

and $P_c$ the set of continuous places

$$P_c = \{P_{c_n} \}_{k=1,3}; \quad (8)$$

$$T = T_d \cup T_c \quad (9)$$
Fig. 2: Task planning for sorting, reaming, drilling and storage operations

is a finite, not empty, set of transitions where $T_d$ is the set of discrete transitions

$$T_d = \bigcup_{i=1}^{12} \{ d_{p_i} \} \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{11} \{ d_{r_j} \} \quad (10)$$

and $T_c$ the set of continuous transitions

$$T_c = \bigcup_{k=1}^{3} \{ c_{r_k} \} \quad (11)$$

Remark 1: Sets $P$ and $T$ are disjointed, $P \cap T = \emptyset$;

$Pre : P \times T \rightarrow Q_o$ or $N$ is the input incidence application;

$Post : P \times T \rightarrow Q_i$ or $N$ is the output incidence application;

Remark 2: In the definitions of $Pre$, $Post$ and $m_0$, $N$ corresponds to the case where $P \in P_d$, and $Q_{r_i}$ or $R_{i_r}$ corresponds to the case where $P \in P_c$; $m_0 : P \rightarrow R_{i_r}$ or $N$ is the initial marking;

$$h : P \cup T \rightarrow \{ D, C \} \quad (12)$$

called a "hybrid function", indicates for every node whether it is a discrete node (sets $P_d$ and $T_d$) or a continuous node (sets $P_c$ and $T_c$),

$$h : P_d \cup T_d \rightarrow \{ D \}, h : P_c \cup T_c \rightarrow \{ C \}, \quad (13)$$

$tempo$ is a function from the set $T$ of transitions to the set of positive or zero rational numbers,

$$tempo : T \rightarrow Q_r \cup \{ 0 \} \quad (14)$$

If $T_j \in T_d$, then $d_j = tempo(T_j)$ is the timing associated with $T_j$. If $T_{cr} \in T_c$, then

$$U_r = \frac{1}{tempo(T_{cr})} \quad (15)$$

is the flow rate associated with $T_{cr}$.

For $T_c = \bigcup_{k=1}^{3} \{ c_{r_k} \}$, $U_{r_k} = U_r$; $U_{r_{max}} = V_r$, where $U_{r_k}$ is the variable flow of the $WMR$’s displacement between continuous places. Let $VMR$’s average speed $V_r = 94 \text{mm/s}$ be. In fig. 3, it is shown the $THPN$ model corresponding to the $P/RMS$, respecting the previous assumptions. Simulated response of the continuous places is shown in fig. 4. $WMR$’s markings of the continuous places, before and after simulation, match the distances shown in fig. 1.
4 Real-Time Control of WMR Equipped with RM Serving P/RMS

Discrete-time sliding-mode control for trajectory tracking, based on a kinematic model is used to control WMR Pioneer 3-DX. Pioneer 3-DX is a mobile platform with two driving wheels and one rear wheel. The robotic manipulator, Pioneer 5-DOF Arm, mounted on the mobile platform, is controlled in open loop by step by step motors located in each joint. The gripper positioning in order to grab the scrap piece in the warehouse has been made by a visual servoing system. The mobile platform has two differential drive wheels and a guiding wheel as shown in fig. 5.

Kinematics modeling of mobile platforms issue was explicitly addressed in the literature [9, 10]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_r &= v_r \cdot \cos \theta_r \\
\dot{y}_r &= v_r \cdot \sin \theta_r \\
\dot{\theta}_r &= \omega_r
\end{align*}
\]  

(16)

where \(x_r\) and \(y_r\) are Cartesian coordinates of the geometric center of the mobile platform, \(v_r\) is the linear velocity of the mobile platform, \(\theta_r\) is the steering angle, angular velocity of the robot is \(\omega_r\) and \(b\) is the distance between the planes of the driving wheels. Trajectory tracking errors can be characterized by \((x_e, y_e, \theta_e)\). The purpose of this section is to design a stable controller, which generates a control vector \((v_r, \omega_r)\). Trajectory tracking error vector is:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
x_e \\
y_e \\
\theta_e
\end{bmatrix} = 
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta_d & \sin \theta_d & 0 \\
-\sin \theta_d & \cos \theta_d & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} 
\begin{bmatrix}
x_r - x_d \\
y_r - y_d \\
\theta_r - \theta_d
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(17)

where \((x_d, y_d, \theta_d)\) is the virtual position of the mobile platform. The derived of the tracking error can be written,

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_e &= -v_d + v_r \cdot \cos \theta_e + \omega_d \cdot y_e \\
\dot{y}_e &= v_r \cdot \sin \theta_e - \omega_d \cdot x_e \\
\dot{\theta}_e &= \omega_r - \omega_d
\end{align*}
\]  

(18)

where, \(v_d\) and \(\omega_d\) are the desired linear and angular velocities. Here it is supposed that \(|\theta_e| < \pi/2\) which implies that the orientation of the mobile platform should not be perpendicular to the desired direction. A new sliding surface was introduced so that the lateral error, \(y_e\), and the angular variable, \(\theta_e\), are internally connected in the same area and both variables converge to zero.

For this purpose the following sliding-surfaces have been defined:

\[
\begin{align*}
s_1 &= \dot{x}_e + k_1 \cdot x_e \\
s_2 &= \dot{y}_e + k_2 \cdot y_e + k_0 \cdot \text{sgn}(y_e) \cdot \theta_e
\end{align*}
\]  

(19)

where, \(k_1, k_2, k_3\) are positive constant parameters, \((x_e, y_e, \theta_e)\) are the tracking errors defined in equation (16). If surface \(s_1\) converges to zero, \(x_e\) converges to zero. If \(s_2\) converges to zero, then \(y_e\) becomes

\[
\dot{y}_e = -k_2 \cdot y_e + k_0 \cdot \text{sgn}(y_e) \cdot \theta_e
\]  

(20)

If \(y_e > 0\) and \(\theta_e < 0\) then \(\dot{y}_e < 0\). Finally it is known from \(s_2\) that the convergence of \(y_e\) and \(\dot{y}_e\) lead to the convergence of \(\theta_e\) to zero. The control law form is:

\[
s = -Q \cdot \text{sgn}(s) - P \cdot \dot{s}
\]  

(21)

where \(Q\) and \(P\) are positive constants. Adding the term \(-Qs\), the state is forced to fast approach the switching zone when \(s\) is large. Knowing that:

\[
\dot{\theta}_e = \dot{\theta}_r - \dot{\theta}_d = \omega_r - \omega_d
\]  

(22)

then, after the derivation of (19) and (20), it is obtained:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{v}_c &= \left(-Q_1 \cdot \text{sgn}(s_1) - P_1 \cdot s_1 - k_1 \cdot \dot{x}_e - \omega_d \cdot y_e \right) \cos \theta_e \\
\dot{\omega}_c &= \left(-Q_2 \cdot \text{sgn}(s_2) - P_2 \cdot s_2 - k_2 \cdot y_e \right) \left(\frac{v_r \cdot \cos \phi_e}{\left(\omega_r \cdot x_e + \omega_d \cdot \dot{x}_e\right)} + \omega_d\right) + k_0 \cdot \text{sgn}(y_e)
\end{align*}
\]  

(23)

The Lyapunov function is defined \(V = 1/2 s^T s\), so the derivative in time is

\[
\dot{V} = s_1 \cdot \dot{s}_1 + s_2 \cdot \dot{s}_2 = s_1 \left(-Q_1 \cdot \text{sgn}(s_1) - P_1 \cdot s_1\right) \\
+ s_2 \left(-Q_2 \cdot \text{sgn}(s_2) - P_2 \cdot s_2\right)
\]  

(25)

In order to have a negative and semi defined \(\dot{V}\) it is enough to choose \(Q_i\) and \(P_i\) \(i \in [1, 2]\) so that \(Q_i, P_i > 0\). Closed loop sliding-mode control of the WMR is shown in fig. 6. Using the above discussed equations, in the real time simulation, the trajectory passed by the Pioneer P3-DX robot along with the flexible line FESTO MPS-200 is shown in fig. 7. Before the simulation, some conditions had to be imposed: the total distance traveled by the mobile platform is 5834 mm; the path is crossed over in two stages: retrieval-deposit and return to the initial position.

Figure 8 shows the three kind of work pieces involved in the processing and the movement of the robot at the appearance of a defective piece. In fig. 9, it is shown the real and the desired trajectories of the mobile platform. Both trajectories coincide on all distance passed. When the platform turns 90° a small deviation from the trajectory can be noticed. In fig. 10, is shown of the real linear and speed versus the desired speed. From simulation has resulted that the necessary time for the mobile platform to pass the 5834 mm distance was of 121.7 s. In fig. 11 is shown the tracking error on X axis. Maximum error consists of a 4 mm deviation.
of the mobile robot regarding the imposed distance. In fig. 12 it is shown the tracking error on Y axis which has a maximum of 2 mm. When the robot makes last $90^\circ$ turn, the deviation reaches its maximum of 2.37 mm. In fig. 13, it is shown the orientation error of the mobile platform which is of approximately $2^\circ$. In fig. 14 are shown the desired and the real angular speed, with an error between them of 0.5 rad/s. In fig. 15 and 16 are shown the control input on sliding surfaces $s_1$ and $s_2$, respectively.

![Fig. 6: Closed loop WMR control](image)

![Fig. 7: WMR's simulated response in trajectory tracking sliding mode control serving processing line FESTO MPS-200.](image)

![Fig. 8: a) Types of pieces; b), c) and d) WMR, Pioneer P3-DX, with RM, Pioneer 5-DOF, serving FESTO MPS-200.](image)

![Fig. 9: WMR's desired and real trajectories](image)

![Fig. 10: WMR's desired and real linear speeds](image)

![Fig. 11: WMR's X axis tracking error](image)

![Fig. 12: WMR's Y axis tracking error](image)
5 Conclusions

Mainly, the contribution of this paper is the modeling, optimization and control of the flexible processing/reprocessing mechatronics line served by a mobile platform equipped with manipulator. In order to do that, implemented different control programs for the line and models with timed, non-timed and Hybrid Petri Nets. Modeling, by using THPN, represents a good solution for accurately highlight the real process and to show different properties of the discreet event system. Model validation via simulation can produce a limited set of states of the modeled system and thus can only show the presence (but not absence) of errors in the model and its basic specifications. In the simulation of the P/RMS, processing mechatronics line FESTO MPS-200, served by WMR with RM the errors, unwanted situations and events have been eliminated.
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